

In re Grey

14-27803-BKC-LMI

The Court rejected a lender's post confirmation objection to a proposed plan modification. The secured creditor objected to the First Modified Plan because the principal and interest payments were not identical for all 60 payments of the plan. The Court determined that pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §1327(a), *res judicata* barred the secured creditor from challenging the monthly payments proposed in the First Amended Plan because the lender failed to object to the unequal payments proposed by the confirmed Seventh Amended Plan and the modification did not change the payments to the lender.